

1983

April 18, 1983

Library Advisory Board

Follow this and additional works at: <http://thekeep.eiu.edu/lab>

Recommended Citation

Library Advisory Board, "April 18, 1983" (1983). *Minutes*. 14.
<http://thekeep.eiu.edu/lab/14>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Library Advisory Board at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Minutes by an authorized administrator of The Keep. For more information, please contact tabruns@eiu.edu.

Library Advisory Board
MINUTES
April 18, 1983

The last meeting of the Library Advisory Board for the 1982/83 year was called to order by Chairman Robert N. Barger. There were eight (8) members and four (4) alternates attending. Those present included: Professor Robert N. Barger, Professor Lawrence W. Bates, Professor Barbara Bieler (attending for Professor Carolyn Jarmon), Professor Carl Curran, Professor Bill Heyduck, Professor Bert Holley, Professor John E.P. Mullally, Professor John Neitzke, Professor Donald C. Tracy, Student Representative Tom Waterman, Professor Richard Wise, and Professor Pat Wright.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The Minutes of the previous meeting dated March 21, 1983 were approved as published.

OLD BUSINESS

Library Book/Periodical Budget

Dean Luquire, Dean of Library Services explained the Periodical Review is now on-going. The 15% prioritized contingency list which departments are now reviewing is only being done in case of a budget decrease for the next year. The expected rate of inflation for periodicals is 13-15%. At this time, there is no additional information concerning the Materials Budget for 1983/84.

Dean Luquire also mentioned an inter-institutional plan with all State universities which has been submitted by the Council of Directors of State University Libraries in Illinois (CODSULI) as a Title IIC, HEA Grant. Dean Luquire is the President of CODSULI and Chair of the Grants Committee. This proposal would link Illinois serials on-line. The proposal has already survived first-round reviews in Washington, D.C.

Collection Development Policy Revision: Indices/Abstracts

As a result of three departmental requests, a proposal was brought before the Board concerning the handling of indices/abstracts:

Indices/Abstracts: Charges for tools of interest to a single department will be charged to that department's allocation for book/periodicals but works of multidisciplinary interest will be charged to the Library's internal budget for materials. The multidisciplinary category is determined by a panel of three (3) Subject Librarians, one (1) of whom represents the subject area of the index in question. Generally, when an index is moved from a department to the Library budget, the budget for that index is moved likewise.

This proposal was not brought to a motion.

Collection Development Policy Revision: Indices/Abstracts: continued

An alternative resolution was passed by unanimous consensus:

Indices/Abstracts: 1) Generally, all indices/abstracts will be in the Library's central budget, but there is no intention of precluding a department from purchasing an index/abstract from its own allocation. When an index is moved from a departmental allocation to the Library central budget, the funds for the index is moved likewise. 2) No allocations are to be transferred at this time. 3) The library will study the effect of these resolutions being enacted and present the data to the Library Advisory Board in September 1983 for them to respond and make a recommendation. 4) Added note: If this proposal should be put into effect during the course of next fiscal year, we will (at that time) figure any percentage reductions for FY85 based on departmental allocations after they have been adjusted by this proposal.

Relationship of Areas Represented by Library Advisory Board

Professor Barger reported he has spoken with Faculty Senate Chair, Professor Jeff Lynch. Professor Lynch proposed Professor Barger speak at the next Senate meeting to explain the recommendation of the Library Advisory Board concerning the relationship of the areas represented. The recommendation was created to address the relationship of the Library Advisory Board and the Audio Visual Advisory Committee.

The recommendation is as follows:

PRESENT NUMBER OF MEMBERS - 10

5 from College of Arts and Sciences

4 from Professional Schools (i.e. Business, Fine Arts, Education, HPER, Home Economics, Technology)

PROPOSED NUMBER OF MEMBERS - 16
(3 non-voting)

5 from College of Arts and Sciences
(No change in representative number)

1 from School of Business (no change in representative number)

1 from School of Education (no change in representative number)

1 from School of Fine Arts (no change in representative number)

1 from School of HPER (presently represented w/Home Ec and Technology)

1 from School of Home Economics (presently represented w/HPER and Technology)

1 from School of Technology (presently represented w/HPER and Home Ec)

Relationship of Areas Represented by Library Advisory Board: continued

1 Student Representative

2 Appointed (voting) Student Representatives (presently represented by 1)

3 Ex-Officio appointed (non-voting) members; one each from Athletics, University Relations, and Business Services

10 members representing areas

16 members

Professor Barger will speak to the Faculty Senate at their next meeting.

(Copy of decision by the Faculty Senate in Eastern News article dated April 20, 1983)

Wednesday, April 20, 1983

7

Library Advisory Board expands

by Susan McCann

The Faculty Senate Tuesday approved changes in the make-up of the Library Advisory Board which will expand the board's membership from 10 to 16 persons.

Board chairman Bob Barger addressed the senate and explained that the expansion in membership was prompted by last year's addition of the Audio Visual Center to the dean of library services' jurisdiction.

The Library Advisory Board's new structure calls for 13 voting members and three ex-officio representatives on the board.

The trio of non-voting members will represent athletics, University Relations and business services. Barger said the reason for including three non-

voting members was that, "these are the three heavy users of audio visual services from the non-academic side of the university."

He added that the College of Arts and Sciences will continue to have five representatives. However, two students will now sit on the board, instead of the one student member who previously served.

The university's six schools will each have one representative, bringing the total membership to 16 persons. Previously, only four persons represented the six schools.

In other business, senate vice chairman Richard Goodrick announced the results of the April 13 run-off election for faculty membership on the Council on Academic Affairs.

The three top vote-getters, Barger, Alan Aulabaugh and Sue Stoner, received positions on the CAA.

The senators decided not to meet on May 3, making April 26 the senate's final meeting date for the spring term. Next year's officers will be elected at the final meeting.

The change was made to allow senators to attend a tea scheduled to honor seven faculty members who are retiring from the university. The tea is slated for 2:30 to 4 p.m. May 3 in the Union 1895 room.

Acknowledgment of Members

The Dean acknowledged those members who were ending their term on the Library Advisory Board and thanked them for their service.

The Board asked the Chairman to determine the Faculty Senate view on alternates who have served as members (replacing their Board member representative) and have served only one year; should such a member serve another two years before his/her term is relinquished?

NEW BUSINESS

Professor Ankenbrand announced he has received a note from a department chairman concerning the noise in the library.

Much discussion followed, and Dean Luquire explained the Library Building Committee is now reviewing the situation and re-defining "quiet" areas in the library. The library is also monitoring the (East) Reading Room. As well as looking into other options, Dean Luquire stated the library is open to suggestions and he offered Library Advisory Board members an opportunity to monitor the library on a rotating basis.

ADJOURNMENT

EFFECT ON DEPARTMENT BUDGETS
SHOULD THE COSTS OF INDEXES AND ABSTRACTS
BE ABSORBED BY DEPT. 80

	Combined budgets (periodicals & books)	Dollars spent for indexes and abstracts	Combined budgets minus cost of indexes and abstracts - except 80	Dept. 80's budget after absorbing cost of indexes & abstracts
03	11,810.45	484.00	11,326.45	
07	20,975.28	2,894.00	18,081.28	
08	30,547.72	1,571.00	28,976.72	
11	36,220.07	5,983.00	30,237.07	
13	10,792.47	162.00	10,630.47	
17	13,468.38	417.00	13,051.38	
18	4,703.52	---	4,703.52	
31	13,064.83	448.00	12,616.83	
35	15,457.49	3,323.00	12,134.49	
37	10,931.94	1,801.69	9,130.25	
39	8,543.35	616.00	7,927.35	
40	2,520.86	---	2,520.86	
43	15,743.28	1,675.00	14,068.28	
46	12,695.13	899.00	11,796.13	
47	4,419.14	69.00	4,350.14	
49	10,121.89	156.20	9,965.69	
55	20,897.71	1,350.00	19,547.71	
59	17,012.75	1,585.38	15,427.37	
61	15,153.71	540.00	14,613.71	
65	13,399.30	735.50	12,663.80	
66	5,262.61	67.00	5,195.61	
67	4,526.74	96.22	4,430.52	
68	3,317.36	5.00	3,312.36	
69	33,493.98	4,145.00	29,348.98	
70	20,036.44	3,314.84	16,721.60	
80	109,601.60	7,370.70		141,939.43
81	500.00	---	500.00	
82	10,000.00	---	10,000.00	
<hr/>				
TOTAL	475,218.00	39,708.53	333,278.57	141,939.43